UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE                        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/697,256   | 10/31/2003                         | Kazuo Okada          | SHO-0054            | 9221             |
|  | 7590 07/31/200<br>IAN & GRAUER PLL | EXAMINER             |                     |                  |
| LION BUILDIN   |                                    | SHAH, MILAP          |                     |                  |
| 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20036 |                                    | <i>)</i> 1           | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |                                    |                      | 3714                |                  |
|  |                                    |                      |                     |                  |
|  |                                    |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |
|  |                                    |                      | 07/31/2008          | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. |            | Applicant(s) |  |
|-----------------|------------|--------------|--|
|                 | 10/697,256 | OKADA, KAZUO |  |
| Examiner        |            | Art Unit     |  |
|                 | Milap Shah | 3714         |  |

| N   | 1ilap Shah  | 3714   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear   | s on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence address  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC   | HE REPLY FILED 14 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on th application, applicant must timely file one of the following repapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFI periods:   | e same day as filing a Notice of A<br>plies: (1) an amendment, affidavit<br>(with appeal fee) in compliance v | Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this<br>, or other evidence, which places the<br>with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).   | sory Action, or (2) the date set forth in rthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing                                   | date of the final rejection.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sho set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL   | sion and the corresponding amount o<br>rtened statutory period for reply origir                               | of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as                            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complia filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensi Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS  | on thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consision  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)  (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or  (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuous content.   | deration and/or search (see NOT<br>;<br>form for appeal by materially red                                     | E below);<br>lucing or simplifying the issues for  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).   | and 41.33(a)). See attached Notice of Non-Con   | npliant Amendment (PTOL-324).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provid. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: 17-23.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |   | be entered and an explanation of   |  |  |  |  |  |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | ufficient reasons why the affidavit   | t or other evidence is necessary and   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a lentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a  | rcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal  | l and/or appellant fails to provide a  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but determine the considered of the considered of</li></ul> |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| See Continuation Sheet.  12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (P  |   | condition for allowance because.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13. Other:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| /Robert E Pezzuto/<br>Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714  |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The Applicant has stated that the after-final amendments to the claims have not added any new limitations that have not already been discussed throughout prosecution of the instant application, however, the Examiner respectfully disagrees. The limitations directed to a specific erroneous image being displayed when the reels are not stopped in the determined stop order is considered a newly added limitation. The Examiner has reviewed the claims throughout prosecution and has not located such a limitation in any previous claims. The limitation is considered to be narrower then simply showing an attraction image, further, the function of the 'attraction image' has not before been considered, thus, the use of "erroneous image" that is specifically for notifying the player that the order of the stop control had not been performed in the correct order, is considered to be a newly added limitation requiring a further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See "NOTE" of section 3 above. Further, the Applicant has added additional claims without canceling an equal number of finally rejected claims, thus, a further search and consideration is required.